the

WEEKLY CRUSADER

Vol. 1, No. 13

January 20, 1961

The WEEKLY CRUSADER is published weekly by Christian Crusade, Tulsa 2, Oklahoma. Dr. Billy James Hargis, Editor-In-Chief; Ken Swanson, Managing Editor. Subscription rate: \$10.00 annually.



HOUSE COMMITTEE UNDER VIOLENT ATTACK

According to the January 8, 1961 edition of *The Worker*, official Communist newspaper, Representative James Roosevelt is going ahead with his program to rescind the House of Representatives mandate authorizing the formation of the House Committee on Un-American Activities. *The Worker* said: "In a letter to all members of the House, Rep. James Roosevelt said that he would call within the next few weeks for a "No" vote on the Unamerican Committee's request for an appropriation."

NEWSPAPERS AID THE CAUSE

Known Communists, extreme left-wing liberals, fellow travelers and stooges rallied to the cause. In an obvious attempt to prepare the way for the subversive lobbying, the ultra left-wing Washington *Post* printed several editorials during November and December viciously attacking the House Committee On Un-American Activities and the film *Operation Abolition*. One of these editorials is reprinted here:

"Arlington County's school administrators and social science teachers are to consider the question whether the House Un-American Activities Committee film, Operation Abolition, should be shown to students in Arlington's social studies classes. The first question they ought to ask themselves in this connection is the simple question, "Why?" What constructive educational purpose can be served by giving youngsters this highly colored and questionable account — prepared by the Un-American Activities Committee itself — of a San Francisco student demonstration against the Committee.

"The film is a piece of propaganda. By editing and arranging newsreel pictures and by an exceedingly slanted running commentary, delivered by a member of the committee staff, two false impressions are created: first, that the riot which took place in San Francisco last May was instigated, organized and led by Communists; second, that violence by the students required the San Francisco police to turn fire hoses on them and eject them by force from the City Hall.

"The truth about the first is that Communists had nothing whatever to do with the instigation, organization or leadership of the student demonstration; it was an expression of legitimate protest against the efforts of the HUAC to pillory a number of California teachers, and the only proved Communists present seemed to be those subpoenaed by the Committee as witnesses. The truth about the second is that some of the students inside the City Hall (those marching outside in the picket line were uniformly well-mannered and orderly) began to chant when they were denied admittance to the hearing room, and the police reacted with altogether needless ferocity.

that is, m ecovithout

ne dicnuse it an the private

annot, ploita-

an to

nd set ed the stence will

y the

Nov.

s, "let e they NOT urther d proker:

f other nd the no nu-

epublic by the t from Chiang

rfering

s; turn a. Proout red withop and

weaker
e comSoviet
nat the

use the

e same loose to not, it cohorts lee also

3, 1961

"The Committee on Un-American Activities is making a political fight for its existence. The public schools should not be made a battleground for that fight. And certainly they should not be sponsors of a film which, as the Milwaukee Journal aptly put it, 'far from being a tool against Communism, is a tool against the American right to dissent."

LIES AND ASSERTIONS EXPOSED

Representative Thomas Pelly (R. of Washington) took grave exception to the *Post's* "bold and shameless" editorials and refuted their assertions with the following letter:

"I have read your editorial of Dec. 20, and your prior editorial Dec. 5, in which you deal with the subject of the House Committee on Un-American Activities and the film 'Operation Abolition.'

"As a Congressman of the United States, familiar with propaganda techniques, including that of certain editors, I want to tell you that I have been disturbed and nauseated by your obviously calculated program of falsehood and misrepresentation employed in an effort to discredit the Committee and the film.

"I am also shocked to note your bold and shameless efforts to suppress free speech and education in the schools of Arlington County and elsewhere by your campaign of editorial distortions.

"You glibly assert that 'Communists had nothing whatever to do with the instigation, organizing or authorization of the student demonstration' at the Committee hearings in San Francisco. This assertion you know to be completely false and dishonest.

"At no point in your editorial do you explain that, as a result of the investigation of these riots by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the report of J. Edgar Hoover declared that these riots were, in fact, Communist instigated.

"The film commentary, which you suggest was 'slanted,' actually follows the Hoover Report. Likewise, you do not point out that, as reported by the San Francisco Chronicle of May 18, Mayor Christopher of San Francisco said:

"'In his opinion, last Friday's rioting was Communist directed and that for the most part 'unknowing and misguided' students were innocent pawns of Communist trained agitators educated in crowd control tactics.'

"Your further assertion that there was no violence on the part of the students requiring San Francisco police to turn fire hoses on the demonstrators is likewise utterly false. Again, the Hoover Report, the reports of the San Francisco police, and the film contradict you.

"Of course, all people will not agree at all times on all matters. However, your editorials indicate a deliberate effort to prejudice that area of the public which has not had the facts available to it or had seen the films or read the Hoover Report.

"You are guilty of abusing the privilege of a free press, by using the monopoly position of your newspaper as an instrument for the (perversion) of truth.

"Although it is generally recognized that a responsible and free press is basic to the democratic process, responsibility entails the presentation of facts, balanced editorial comments, and the defense of truth. That responsibility you have evidently abandoned in your editorial policy.

"Moreover, you are, I believe designedly, giving aid and comfort to the Communist program to abolish the House Committee on Un-American Activities, a movement which has been spearheaded by Frank Wilkinson an identified Communists, who together with certain other identified Communists, fellow-travelers and their dupes, has been recently on tour of college campuses and other places for the purpose of instigating action against that Committee.

"The formation of a group known as the National Committee to abolish the House Committee on Un-American Activities was announced in the Communist press on August 15, 1960, with a mailing address given as 617 North Larchmont Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., which is also the address of the Citizens Committee to Preserve American Freedom, an organization previously cited as a Communist front and also a major adjunct of the Emergency Civil Liberties Committee, likewise cited as a Communist front.

"Your astounding effort to suppress the right of free speech to which Congress and its Committee are entitled, your calculated interference with school administration by attempting to forestall the presentation of facts and current history to social science classes, merits total condemnation and will meet with the just resistance of an outraged public.

"The artifices you are employing to beguile the public from information it should have on the subject of Communist subversion of youth will not succeed. It is clear that you have knowingly joined in a propaganda campaign to create by slander, a climate of hostility to a Committee and to a news reel film that portrays facts from which the people will draw reasonable conclusions if left to themselves.

"I have long been familiar with the operation of the Committee on Un-American Activities, which fulfills an important law-making function of Congress. I have always been impressed by its outstanding efforts and achievements, under the fair and able leadership of its brilliant and dedicated chairman, Congressman Francis E. Walter of Pennsylvania. But you have gone beyond the bounds of propriety. After reading your distorted editorials, I am now, more than ever, determined to support this House Committee."

A "REPRESSIVE" AGENCY

On January 2, just one day before the new 87th Congress convened, the Washington Post printed a two page ad under the heading, "PETITION TO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE 87TH CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES." The following statement was signed by 345 individuals whose names and titles were printed in the ad:

"We, the undersigned, petition the 87th Congress to eliminate the House Committee on Un-American Activities as a standing Committee.

"We believe that the U.S. Supreme Court has, in the United States vs. Watkins made it clear that the Committee has habitually misused its mandate in unconstitutional ways for political purposes; that it has become an agency for repression; that it has usurped the functions of the executive and judicial branches of our government.

"We are confident that only a return to constitutional

(See "ATTACK" Page 7)

id and House which ntified ntified een reees for ttee.

Comnerican ess on North so the nerican munist il Libont.

of free ntitled, on by urrent nation oublic.

Comclear paign mittee ch the selves.

of the lls an always ments, t and ter of lds of I am House

87th ted a THE 7TH e folwhose

ess to ivities

n the nittee ways ty for execu-

tional

1961

th a th O N m Pi

1

wa of con Na

2.

int pur adv

prosi

is the A L ti in T is w

The

FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE DIGEST

An impartial analysis of political, economic and military events in the critical areas of the world, derived from confidential sources, with emphasis on facts that have not been made fully public. Editor: Major General C. A. Willoughby, U.S.A. Ret. MacArthur's Chief of Intelligence 1939-1951.



VIGIL AT THE PENTAGON: A PACIFIST DEMONSTRATION

1. Organization for action: Quakerish?

For two days (November 13 and 14) members of the "Religious Society of Friends" (Quakers) stood in a quiet vigil before the "citadel of military power," the Pentagon. This was an organized public exhibition. Other meetings took place at 211 Florida Avenue, N. W., and the Washington organization handling this movement describes itself as "Overseers for the Quaker Pilgrimage to Washington" at 245 Second Street, N.E.

It is reported that during that period, a delegation was dispatched to the United Nations with a "message of hope for a disarmed world" and also "voluntary tax contributions to support the work of the United Nations."

2. They're Disarmingly Frank: Undiluted Pacifism.

The group distributed a well-printed leaflet. The intellectual trend and direction (vis-a-vis Russia) of purpose are easily recognizable. They are defeatists and advocates of surrender (by the United States), viz:

". . . Many declare that a nation must be militarily strong to assure peace, or even to talk about it. Indeed that is the assumption which, like a Maginot line, dominates the foreign policy of our country.

"In this Quaker Pilgrimage to Washington we challenge that assumption. We are convinced that the means to attain peace must be consistent with the end. We declare that no rationalization of defense can justify the evil of mass destruction...

3. Human Values are Forgotten: By Whom?

"Several years ago President Eisenhower stated, 'There is no alternative to peace.' That is true. Yet year after year the nations go vainly on making ever more lethal armaments. All the while morality and human values are forgotten. Lying, spying and deception have become the normal practice among nations. Violence, condoned on a massive scale in the arms race, spills over into all aspects of our culture. This is the frightful price we pay for a false security which is something worse than no security at all—a security which will betray all who trust in it.

4. No Security in the Arms Race: Pacifist Indolence

"Our Vigil at the Pentagon is an act of public witness for human decency. We abhor reliance on military might because we feel that it is wrong. It denies the Spirit of God in every man. But, on more pragmatic grounds, we assert that national security through military might is a delusion. It is becoming increasingly clear that as our military power approaches infinity our security approaches zero. George Kennan was right in saying: "The cultivation of the ideal military posture will always be in conflict with any serious effort to ease international political tensions."

5. Would Challenge Consciences. What about Common Sense?

"In our Vigil at the Pentagon we stand quietly in prayerful waiting for the Divine Spirit to make itself felt in and through us. We do not condemn those who are members of, or working with the Armed Forces. We recognize their sincerity in pursuing what to them seems essential for human freedom. We confess our own complicity in the situation we decry. But we ask our fellow citizens to re-examine their positions in logic, in ethics, in historical perspective, in realism and in love.

6. The Model: Passive Resistance.

"We envisage the impact of our Vigil in these words by Martin Luther King, Jr.:

"The non-violent approach . . . does something to the hearts and souls of those committed to it. It gives them new self-respect; it calls up resources of strength and courage that they did not know they had. Finally, it reaches the opponent and so stirs his conscience that reconciliation becomes a reality."

"We believe that love and compassion consciously applied, with steadfast refusal to co-operate with evil, and with joyous acceptance of the suffering involved, will reconcile the differences between the men and nations of our own day. We proclaim our faith that by this means the shadow of fear, hatred, and tyranny can be lifted from the earth.

7. Program for Four-Point Action:

"Said President Eisenhower to the Indian Parliament, December 10, 1959: "'Governments are burdened with sterile expenditures, preoccupied with attainment of a defensive military posture that grows less meaningful against today's weapon carriers. . . Controlled universal disarmament is the imperative of our time. The demand for it by the hundreds of millions whose chief concern is the long future of themselves and their children will, I hope, become so universal and insistent that no man, no government can withstand it."

"Is your voice helping to make the demand for peace insistent? One voice is a pebble; a million an avalanche!

"Said President Eisenhower to Prime Minister MacMillan, August 31, 1959:

"'I like to believe that people, in the long run, are going to do more to promote peace than our government. Indeed, I think that people want peace so much that one of these days governments better get out of their way and let them have it.'

"We stand in our Vigil at the Pentagon in witness to what we believe. We declare our yearning for peace to the whole world and pray that our voice will be heard by our government.

"Write letters today to the President and to the President-elect.

"Encourage them to seek non-violent alternatives to the arms race and to seek to strengthen the United Nations. Tell them you want:

- 1. "A goal of total disarmament publicly proclaimed now.
- "An end to preparation for chemical and bacteriological warfare.
- "A permanent nuclear test ban and cessation of the production of nuclear weapons.
- 4. "Repeal of the self-judging (Connally) amendment in U.S. adherence to the International Court of Justice."

8. Editorial Comment and Reflections

Editorially, I am reluctant to take issue with any religious body or group. The assumption is that they possess mental and moral integrity. We are not certain that this enterprise is local or national in scope or that it has received the full approval of all Quakers. As a veteran of all our recent wars (or were they only police actions?) from chasing Pancho Villa in Chihuahua (1916); the first World War (1917-19the war to make the world safe for Democracy?); the second World War (- this time it was to make the world safe for Communism); in the Pacific (1941-1945) from Bataan to Tokyo; and the Sino-Korean War (1950-1951), I have the right to speak for the men who fought and died in the grime and misery of battlefields while Pacifists and draft-dodgers hid behind some technical legalities and loopholes, to live their cowardly lives for another day and then unctuously voice pseudo-moral, meaningless cliches.

I am reminded of a hot September day when the American Eighth Army broke through the Pusan Perimeter, co-ordinated with MacArthur's brilliant flank stroke at Inchon (that was to net us 125,000 North Korean Red prisoners). With evidence of the precipitate flight of the enemy all around us the leading American patrols encountered a terrible sight.

In the little village of Waegwan, recently abandoned by the fleeing North Koreans, we found many of our men who had been taken prisoner in some previous engagement. They had been murdered! With their hands tied with signal wire, they were shot behind the ears - the classical method of Communist executioners. Teenagers mostly, draftees, raw recruits, who might not have surrendered had they been veterans, they were flung in the roadside ditches like so much rubbish. Probably high school graduates shot down by filthy illiterate semi-savage Chinese and North Korean coolies with Russian weapons, Czech automatics, shipped in Russian freighters via the Polish Port of Gydnia erstwhile German Danzig. In the meantime, the Czechs have a delegation in the U.N., the Poles receive aid and commodity credit from the American Treasury and a pack of spineless Pacifist draftdodgers cry to hamstring American defense, like the Red Korean murderers hamstrung the young American prisoners at Waegwan.

There must be something corrosive, foul and enervating in the vagaries of certain religious bodies to seek redress from the United Nations that did not lift a finger in the agony of Budapest—the propaganda forum for Khrushchev, the butcher of the Ukraine and Hungary; the U.N. that welcomes Congolese rapists and West African cannibals with equal tolerance. Why picket the Pentagon? Did these myopic people "stand Vigil at the U.N., the glass house on the Hudson" in protest against Khrushchev and the Communist "parliament arising"?

And I reflect on our history, on the inscription on the monument of John Witherspoon, D.D., (1723-1794), in front of the National Presbyterian Church in Washington. The Reverend Witherspoon was the only clergyman to sign the Declaration of Independence.

"For my own part, of property
I have some, of reputation more(.)
That reputation is staked,
That property is pledged on
The issue in this contest; and
Although these gray hairs must
Soon descend into the sepulcher,
I would infinitely rather
That they descend thither by
The hand of the executioner
Than desert at this crisis the
Sacred cause of my Country. . . ."

- Witherspoon

th

in

th

D

M

te

fic

mi

H

In

fea

Pu

in

Pre

Na

car

wo

wa

wa

of

gar

The

Nicaragua and Panama: Alternate Interoceanic Canal

lliant

5,000

f the

ading

aban-

many

some

With

ehind

execu-

who

erans,

much

vn by

orean

ship-

ydnia

e, the

es re-

erican

dgers

orean

oners

ener-

ies to

ot lift

ganda

e and

apists

Why

stand

n" in

"par-

on on

1723-

hurch

is the

dence.

1961

(Continued from Last Week)

4. SERIES OF PRIVATE AND GOVERNMENTAL SURVEYS:

A private company, the American Atlantic and Pacific Ship Canal Company, secured a contract from the Republic of Nicaragua for construction of a canal and carried out the first instrument survey of the route in 1850-1852. No construction was undertaken, and the contract was terminated by the Nicaraguan Government.

The route was again examined in 1874 by the Corps of Engineers, which made a favorable report, published in Senate Executive Document No. 46, 52nd Congress, 2nd Session. At the same time, surveys were made of canal routes across the Isthmus at Tehuantepec, Panama, and Darien. After consideration of all these reports the Interoceanic Canal Commission concluded, in 1876, that the Nicaraguan route was the most advantageous of any of the routes considered. The report of the Commission was published as Senate Executive Document No. 15, 46th Congress, 1st Session, following its presentation to the Congress in April 1879.

During 1885, a civil engineer, acting on behalf of the U. S. Navy, surveyed a part of the Nicaraguan route not previously investigated (Senate Executive Document No. 99, 49th Congress, 1st Session). The same engineer, A. S. Menocal, acting as engineer of the Maritime Canal Company, started an unsuccessful attempt to construct a Nicaragua Canal.

An Act of Congress established the Nicaragua Canal Board in 1895 for the purpose of examining the route proposed by the Maritime Canal Company or any modification it deemed advisable. The report of this Commission of the survey made by the Corps of Engineers was made in November 1895 and was published as House Document No. 279, 54th Congress, 1st Session. In it was the statement that the proposed canal was feasible, and further investigations were recommended. Pursuant to the recommendations Congress authorized, in 1897, the Nicaragua Canal Commission, and the President appointed Admiral John G. Walker, U. S. Navy, as President, to report on the proper route for a canal across Nicaragua, its feasibility and cost. The work of the Commission was completed and its report was submitted to the President on May 9, 1899. It was not printed.

During the same year, in accordance with an Act of Congress, the Isthmian Canal Commission was organized to investigate any feasible Isthmian canal route.

After extensive surveys, this Commission reported in November 1901, and recommended the Nicaraguan route. During its investigations, the Commission had been approached by the French interests then engaged in construction of a canal across the Isthmus of Panama, with the idea of selling its rights to the United States. Admiral Walker and the other members of the Commission considered the price too high and recommended the Nicaraguan route. However, the French Canal Company reduced its price and its rights and properties were purchased by the United States and the Panama Canal was completed by the United States.

In 1912, when the Panama Canal was nearing completion, the Government of Nicaragua indicated its desire to give the United States the right to construct a canal across Nicaraguan territory. Accordingly, the Bryan-Chamorro Treaty (or convention) was signed on August 5, 1914, and ratified in 1916. By this Treaty, for the payment of \$3,000,000, the United States gained the following rights:

- Exclusive proprietary rights in perpetuity, necessary and convenient to the construction and maintenance of an interoceanic canal.
- 2. A 99-year, renewable lease on the islands known as Great Corn and Little Corn in the Caribbean; and the renewable right, for a like period, to establish, operate, and maintain a naval base at such place on the territory of Nicaragua bordering the Gulf of Fonseca as the United States may select.

The outstanding geographic feature of Nicaragua is the chain of two fresh-water lakes, Lake Nicaragua and Lake Managua, situated only a few miles from the Pacific Coast in the southwestern portion of the country, and draining into the Caribbean by way of the San Juan River. Lake Nicaragua, with a water surface generally about 104 feet above sea level, has a length of about 100 miles and a width of about 45 miles and is of vital importance to any proposed canal route through Nicaragua. Its water area of about 300 square miles and its total drainage area of about 12,400 square miles provides a dependable source of water for canal operation. It also would provide many miles of open water navigation, requiring little excavation. Lake Managua, to the north, and in the same watershed would augment the water supply but not be utilized by the most preferred canal route.

The San Juan River and its valley form a natural route for a canal from Lake Nicaragua to the Caribbean, a direct line distance of 80 miles. The larger tributaries of the San Juan, the San Carlos, and Sarapiqui, rise in Costa Rica and flow into the San Juan from the south. A number of smaller tributaries enter the river from the south. Below the confluence of the

Sarapiqui, the San Juan enters a coastal plain filled with extensive swamps and lagoons, and divides into two branches, the Lower San Juan and the Colorado, to finally enter the Caribbean.



Map: The Panama Canal and the Caribbean Barrier.

The Caribbean Barrier stretches in a vast semi circle, from Cuba via Hispaniola to Venezuela, as a shield of protection for the Panama Canal.

In the event of war, the approaches to the Canal become the most sensitive strategic area in inter-American defense.

The Communists are aware of this: They have attempted to gain footholds in Guatemala and in British Guiana.

Both countries are within 400/600 miles of the Canal, i.e. within easy flight ranges for even obsolete bombers; in the nuclear age, this represents equally easy distances for medium-range ballistic missiles.

There are thousands of islands, coves and inlets, in the Caribbean littoral that can be used by roving submarines—and have so been used in World Wars I and II ... unless strong, pro-American Governments prevent it.

Conversely, this indispensable pro-American Alliance must not be sacrificed to "liberal witch-hunts," to leftist attacks on Governments who are able to maintain law and order while weaker Governments have fallen prey to Communist subversion.



Aqueous Russian Missile Markers: A Californian Is Disturbed

Khrushchev's threat "We will bury you" should long be remembered. It was, of course, a nuclear rocket threat but since neither Russian nor American long range missiles, from fixed bases, appear to have reached guaranteed operational accuracy, the wily Khrushchev must have had other solutions in mind. Instead of fixed bases (obviously vulnerable) the Russians have made provisions to fire rockets from missile carriers of every description, improvised or fully designed. This type of sea-operation requires precise target information and, above all, reliable base-points and locations from

which to fire. A Californian friend has written a suggestive letter:

"... A California citizen observed Russian submarines (and other craft) busily mapping the ocean floor with a view to install magnetic markers. This citizen, a grain-buyer from Bakersfield, spent much of his own money to pass this information on to Washington."

He enclosed a copy of a report (at the time) which was posted on the bulletin board of a naval installation in Long Beach, Cal. This is not exactly "spot news"—but certainly deserves repetition. It should have been heavily underscored by our laggard Press when we took a propaganda-licking in the U-2 flight case. Your editor had occasion to rebut this phony anti-American drive by promptly publishing the fact of Czech Embassy officials engaged in espionage, as proven by the defection of the Czech Military Attache coinciding in dates with the U-2 case. The Long Beach memorandum covered the following highlights:

The U. S. and Canadian navies have made a sensational, sinister discovery. They have found imbedded on the ocean floor, up to depths of 1,000 feet, more than a score of large steel, radioactive devices of unmistakable Russian make.

Navy authorities are certain that these devices are "Position Markers," to be used by Soviet submarines for launching nuclear missile attacks against U.S. and Canadian coastal cities and other targets.

While only a relative small number of these Russian mechanisms have so far been uncovered, both U.S. and Canadian officials are convinced "hundreds" more have been planted off the Atlantic and Pacific coasts.

It is estimated that this has been done by the Soviet "fishing" and "research" vessels, freighters and submarines which have been repeatedly observed off these coasts in the past several years.

In view of the large number of known Russian missile submarines, at least 100, the submarine "Position Markers" constitute a greater immediate danger to the U.S. and Canada than the Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles.

Made of special radioactive steel, the Red "Position Markers" emit high-energy rays which can be spotted by instruments in submarines.

A fractional error at the launching point of a missile could mean a wide miss at a target hundreds of miles away. But by using the radioactive markers, Red subs could readily determine their exact positions in executing devastating missile attacks against cities and other targets on U.S. and Canadian coastal areas.

sug-

sub-cean This cuch to

nich tion "— teen took litor rive of-tion with

nsa-lded nore un-

are ines and

Rus-ooth eds"

the and off

sian Posi-r to istic

tion tted

of a s of Red s in and

961

W

,

the price to og no income Co

He and In Uni Co

Dr He rec pla of sul So ha mi

Th

"ATTACK" (Continued from Page 2)

procedures can protect us against subversion without at the same time subverting the very liberties we seek to protect.

"For two decades the Congress has allowed the Committee to function. For two decades the Committee has condemned individual Americans, has wrecked the lives of some, and has denied them the due process of law that English and American courts have toilsomely evolved over many centuries. Now the nation is reaping a bitter harvest:

- "The Committee has perverted, and thereby imperiled, the proper and necessary powers of the Congress to conduct investigations.
- "The Committee has helped discourage free study and inquiry in working for peace while the world is threatened with destruction.
- "It has harassed Americans who work for racial equality and justice.
- 4. "It has increased bitterness between racial and religious groups of our citizens, which in turn has imperiled our good relations with the people of Asia, Africa, and Latin America.
- It has discouraged social and cultural contacts with our neighbors on this shrinking planet. It has discouraged U.S. students and scholars from studying in countries which we Americans desperately need to understand.

"The House Committee on Un-American Activities has in these ways weakened America. At no time in history have we needed to be stronger. At no time have we needed to be wiser. Let us rid ourselves of this agent of weakness and of folly."

WHO ARE THE DISSENTERS?

To the uninformed, the titles of those who signed this dangerously insidious document may be very impressive. Among the titles listed were: Community Leader, Professor, Labor Official, Scientist, Medical Doctor, Psychiatrist, Historian, Editor, Economist, Theologian and University Executive. However, we have noted below the pedigree of just a few of these bellicose individuals. The list reads like a Who's Who in the Communist conspiracy.

Harry Bridges, Labor Official, cited by the HCUA as an International Communist agent and leader of the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union, which was ousted from the C.I.O. in 1950 as Communist controlled.

Dr. Linus Pauling, Scientist, Nobel Laureate. The HCUA said of Pauling on April 1, 1951: "His whole record...indicates that (he) is primarily engrossed in placing his scientific attainments at the service of a host of organizations which have in common their complete subservience to the Communist Party, USA, and the Soviet Union. Professor Pauling has not deviated a hair's breadth from this pattern of loyalty to the Communist cause since 1946."

Dr. W. E. B. DuBois, Author, Lecturer, Historian. A

leading Communist Party member (Louis Budenz, Techniques of Communism Pg. 177); Journalist for Communist Party Publications; Chairman of the Party's Peace Information Center.

Joseph Barnes, Editor, Executive. Identified as a member of the Communist Party, Senate Internal Subcommittee hearings, Aug. 1951.

Carry McWilliams, Editor. Identified as a pro-Soviet writer by Louis Budenz, in his book "The Techniques of Communism"; Editor of the extreme left-wing "Nation" magazine.

General Hugh Hester, Author-Lecturer. Co-author of the rabidly pro-Soviet book, "On The Brink." The other author was Jerome Davis who has over 75 affiliations with Communist fronts and pro-Communist enterprises.

Tinged Clergymen Again

Seventy-four (20%) of the signers are clergymen or are engaged in religious work. Their names, appearing with the spurious group listed in the ad, ought to present an unexplainable paradox to those who unctuously contend that there is no Communist infiltration within our churches.

Seven more of the signers were identified as Civil Liberties Official. According to the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, the Emergency Civil Liberties Committee—a Communist front—forms the base of a newly organized Communist "campaign" to cripple the anti-subversive programs of Congress, to shackle or abolish the Committee on Un-American Activities and to discredit J. Edgar Hoover and the Federal Bureau of Investigation."

Other individuals of note who signed the petition are: Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr., Eleanor Roosevelt, Norman Thomas — Head of the United States Socialist Party, and August Scholle.

A good share of the rest of the signers are listed by Circuit Riders, Inc. as having been affiliated with Communist and pro-Communist fronts, projects, publications and enterprises. Several of these and the number of their affiliations are: Dean John C. Bennett, Theologian (16); Prof. Stringfellow Barr, Educator, Author, Lecturer (28); Dr. Eustice Haydon, Prof. Emeritus, Church Official — Methodist (32); Prof. Robert Havinghurst, Prof. of History (38); Pearl M. Hart, Professor, Lawyer's Guild (32).

No doubt some honest, well intentioned Americans have been misled by the imposing titles and glib phrases printed in this and other propaganda designed to sway public opinion against the House Committee on Un-American Activities. An objective evaluation of the motives and intentions of those advocating the abolition of the HCUA will clearly indicate that the Committee is a valuable and formidable bulwark against Communist subterfuge.

The Chicago Conference vs. The Sharon Conference

The WEEKLY CRUSADER, Vol. 1, No. 11, outlined the plans for a Communist-led youth organization to be held in Chicago over the New Year's weekend. The conference is now history and *The Worker*, Jan. 8, 1961, Mid-West Edition, said of the conference that "... they are determined to bring into being within the coming year a new organization of American young people 'dedicated to the examination and advancement of the democratic labor and Socialist traditions and aspirations of the American people."

Greetings from two known Communists, Harry Bridges and W. E. B. DuBois (See Pg. 7), were read at the Conference in spite of the fact that the speaker, Miss Pearl Hart, President of the Chicago Chapter of the National Lawyers Guild, rejected "the characterization of 'Communist' dominated that had been made by newspapers and J. Edgar Hoover. . . ."

THE WORLD ON A PLATTER

The youth organizing committee adopted the following as its Youth Bill of Rights according to *The* Worker:

- "The right to plan our own lives: free from the threats of nuclear annihilation and the burden of military service, free to exchange ideas and skills with the youth of other countries.
- "The right of all youth to organize freely: to examine all ideas, to express their demands and to improve the conditions of their lives.
- "The right to an education based on ability to learn, but not on ability to pay.
- "The right of all negro and other minority youth to equal opportunities for employment, education and in all public services.
- "The right of all youth, men and women, to do job training, and full employment of their skills with a future of job advancement and economic security."

CONSERVATIVE YOUTH ALSO ACTIVE

On the other side of the ledger, another youth group was recently organized under the name Young Americans For Freedom. The students, about 100 of them, met at Sharon, Connecticut, Sept. 9-11, 1960. Chairman of the group is Robert M. Schuchman of the Yale Law School. Among the directors of the conservative conference are such second generation freedom fighters as Carl T. McIntire, Herbert Kohler, Robert Croll, Richard Noble and Lee Edwards.

In contrast to the free-loading, the-world-owes-me-aliving philosophy adopted by the Communist-Socialist Chicago group, here is the Sharon Statement adopted by the *Young Americans For Freedom:* "In this time of moral and political crisis, it is the responsibility of the youth of America to affirm certain eternal truths.

"We, as young conservatives, believe:

"That foremost among the transcendent values is the individual's use of his God-given free will, whence derives his right to be free from the restrictions of arbitrary force;

"The liberty is indivisible, and that political freedom cannot long exist without economic freedom;

"That the purposes of government are to protect these freedoms through the preservation of internal order, the provision of national defense, and the administration of justice.

"That when government ventures beyond these rightful functions, it accumulates power which tends to diminish order and liberty;

"That the Constitution of the United States is the best arrangement yet devised for empowering government to fulfill its proper role, while restraining it from the concentration and abuse of power;

"That the genius of the Constitution—the division of powers—is summed up in the clause which reserves primacy to the several states, or to the people, in those spheres not specifically delegated to the Federal Government;

"That the market economy, allocating resources by the free play of supply and demand, is the single economic system compatible with the requirements of personal freedom and constitutional government, and that it is at the same time the most productive supplier of human needs;

"That when government interferes with the work of the market economy, it tends to reduce the moral and physical strength of the nation; that when it takes from one man to bestow on another, it diminishes the incentive of the first, the integrity of the second, and the moral autonomy of both:

"That we will be free only so long as the national sovereignty of the United States is secure; that history shows periods of freedom are rare, and can exist only when free citizens concertedly defend their rights against all enemies;

"That the forces of international Communism are, at present, the greatest single threat to these liberties;

"That the United States should stress victory over, rather than coexistence with, this menace; and

"That American foreign policy must be judged by this criterion: does it serve the just interests of the United States?"

Why is it that our nation's newspapers maintained a discrete silence in relation to the Sharon Conference and yet gave ample space to the Chicago Communist conclave?

Have YOU read Counterspy Matt Cvetic's THE BIG DECISION

218 Pages - - - \$1.00
Order from: Christian Crusade, Tulsa 2, Okla.